

CANTOR COLBURN LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002

tel: (860) 286-2929 fax: (860) 286-0115

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: April 10, 2006
TO: ISSUE FEE

COMPANY: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FAX NO.: (571) 273-2885 TEL. NO.: FROM: Pat DiGregorio (Cantor Colburn LLP)

OUR REF: GEM-0066 (126995) YOUR REF: USSN 10/065,970

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES SENT 5 (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET):

COMMENTS: TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Please find enclosed the Base Issue Fee and Publication Fee Transmittal Form for U.S. Serial No. 10/065,970. Also enclosed is a "FEE ADDRESS" INDICATION FORM (1 Page) and "COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE (2 Pages).

Please charge the Base Issue Fee and Publication Fee (\$1,700) to Deposit Account 50-2401.

Thank you,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

If there are any problems with this transmission, please call (860) 286-2929 and ask for P.DiGregorio

IMPORTANT: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, distributing or copying this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

Appln. No. 10/065,970

Docket No. GEM-0066 / 126995

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No.:

10/065,970

Confirmation No.: 3819

Applicant:

For:

Rizaldy Buencamino Mata

Group Art Unit: 2835

Filed:

December 5, 2002

Examiner: Duong, Ilung V.

Docket No.:

GEM-0066 / 126995

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR CABLE MANAGEMENT

April 5, 2006

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Provided herewith are comments on the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance presented in the Notice of Allowance dated January 11, 2006.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Muil in an envelope, or Express Mail No.

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or fuesimile transmitted to (571) at the United States Patent and Trademark Office, on the date shown below.

Patricia DiGregorio

Name

Signature

April 10, 2006 Date

Appln. No. 10/065,970

Docket No. GEM-0066 / 126995

The Examiner remarks that Claims 27-31 are allowed because "the prior art fails to show that a second channel disposed in the top wall, the cable being removably disposed in the second channel. Paper No. 0304, page 2.

While Applicant agrees that presented claims pertain to allowable subject matter, Applicant respectfully submits that to the extent that the Examiner's remarks suggest or state that certain limitations or combinations not found in the prior art of record relied upon are or may be present in each and every allowable claim, whether or not specific language to that effect is found in every allowable claim, the record as a whole must be considered in addition to the Examiner's remarks, and, to the extent that the record as a whole is clear and complete, it shall control the interpretation of any and all allowable claims.

More specifically, the Examiner's statement of reasons implies that features found in the specification only, in the dependent claims only, or in some but not all independent claims only, are part of all of the allowable claims, when in fact the record clearly reflects that there are claims not so limited. For example, elements may be found in one claim but not in another. Accordingly, the other claims, and claims dependent from the other claims that do not recite the same as the one claim, are not so limited. More specifically, allowed independent Claim 27 does not explicitly recite "a second channel disposed in the top wall, the cable being removably disposed in the second channel". Accordingly, this claim, and claims dependent therefrom that do not recite the same, are not so limited.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

Applicant's Attorneys

By:

David Arnold

Registration No: 48,894

Customer No. 23413

Address:

55 Griffin Road South, Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002

Telephone:

(860) 286-2929

Fax:

(860) 286-0115